A Preliminary CMB Heat Flux map



Takumi Matsunaga¹(matsunaga.t@elsi.jp), John Hernlund¹(hernlund@gmail.com)

1.Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology

Abstract

Estimating heat flux at Core-Mantle Boundary(CMB) is very important for understanding mantle thermal convection. Thanks to the discovery of perovskite post-perovskite transition in the deep mantle, using Clapeyron slope has a possibility that can constrain thermal gradient and geotherm above CMB. Seismic data is very useful in estimating geotherm, but still have a lot of uncertainties, such as effect of composition heterogeneity in the lower mantle, spin transition of iron, radioactive heat, partial melt effect and thermal conductivity in high pressure condition.

Using the maximum heat flux assumed from the hypothesis 'Double-

Preliminary Results



crossing'(Hernlund,2010) and P-wave velocity map which is highly effected in temperature, we can simply estimate the heat flux near the CMB. This method is useful in its simpleness, but the problem is assuming linear correlation between P-wave velocity and temperature.

Problems

Uncertainties in analytical solution
 Temperature distribution:

$$T = T_{CMB} - \Delta Terf\left(\frac{z}{\delta(x,t)}\right) \quad (\Delta T = T_{CMB} - T_{Lm}) (1)$$

CMB heat flux:

$$q_{CMB} = \frac{2k\Delta T}{\delta\sqrt{\pi}} \ (2)$$

Conservation of energy:

$$\rho c_p \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + v_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) - T \Delta s \Gamma - \rho g \alpha T v_z + Q + \theta$$
(3)

Thermal boundary layer thickness:

$$\delta^{2}(t) = \frac{\delta^{2}(t_{0}) + 4\kappa \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \exp\left(2\int_{t_{0}}^{t''} \dot{\varepsilon}(t')dt'\right) dt''}{\exp\left(2\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \dot{\varepsilon}(t')dt'\right)}$$
(4)
$$\delta(t \to \infty) = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\dot{\varepsilon}}}$$
(5)
The lower cross of the 'Double crossing' can be too thin

(a)
$$IZA \delta V_s$$
 (c) $IZA \delta V_s$ True $\widehat{C} 400 \exists 1$ 400 \exists



<u>Approach</u>

• Numerical solution method:

Using steady equation of conservation of energy(6) and 'lever rule'(7);exhibits conservation of solute species, mass conservation(8,9)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\rho c_p T v_z - k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = -T \Delta s \Gamma - \rho g \alpha T v_z(6)$$

$$\frac{X - X_{pPv}}{X_{Pv} - X_{pPv}} = \phi \frac{\rho_{Pv}}{\rho_{av}} (7)$$
$$\frac{\partial (\rho_{Pv} \phi v_z)}{\partial z} = \Gamma (8)$$
$$\partial (\rho_{Pv} (1 - \phi) v_z)$$

velocity model (Houser et al., 2008). (Treatise, 2012)

<u>Conclusions</u>

- Using the numerical solution, we can directly get the temperature distribution near the CMB, where Pv-pPv transition is there
 - \rightarrow Assumption: D" discontinuity place is the maximum CMB heat flux
- Just assume linear correlation of temperature anomaly and P-wave velocity anomaly, we can have the heat flux map(Figure5)

$$dlnV_p \approx \left(\frac{\partial lnV_p}{\partial T}\right)\delta T$$
 (12)

Future Directions

- Put time dependent Dynamical models
- make δ time dependent (Wu et al.,2011)
- fix strain-rate by rheological theory
- Change the parameters
 thermal conductivity, CMB temperature
- Fit with the seismic observations

Figure8. Time dependent Thermal Boundary Layer used in Wu(2011)

 $\frac{\partial(\rho_{pPv}(1 - \psi)v_z)}{\partial z} = -\Gamma(9)$

Define Transition zone by binary loop:

$$T_{up} = \frac{\Delta T_{inc}}{2} \frac{X_{pPv} (1 - X_{pPv})}{X_0 (1 - X_0)} + T_0 - X_{pPv} (T_0 - T_1) (10)$$

$$T_{down} = -\frac{\Delta T_{inc}}{2} \frac{X_{Pv}(1 - X_{Pv})}{X_0(1 - X_0)} + T_0 - X_{Pv}(T_0 - T_1)(11)$$

Table 1
Parameter values considered in this study.

Quantity	Value (range, if applicable)
$ ho_{Pv}$	5500 kg/m ³
g	10.3 m/s ²
γ	13 MPa/K
ΔV	1 (1–4)%
ΔT_{inc}	300 (200–500) K
έ	$2 \times 10^{-16} (10^{-16.5} - 10^{-15}) sec^{-1}$
T _{cmb}	4000 K
T_∞	2500 K
k _{cmb}	5 W/m/K
C _p	1200 J/K/kg
X ₀	0.15
T _{0,cmb}	3600,3600 K
T _{1,cmb}	2600,4600 K

- S-wave velocity anomaly highly effected by other factors: $dlnV_{s} = \frac{\partial lnV_{s}}{\partial T}\delta T + \frac{\partial lnV_{s}}{\partial X_{Fe}}\delta X_{Fe} + \frac{\partial lnV_{s}}{\partial \varphi_{ppv}}\delta \varphi_{ppv} (13)$ - get rid of P-wave anomaly by other factors: using vote map?
- test model results with velocity anomaly zones
- Change the heat flux range; make minimum

References

- Wu, B., P. Driscoll, and P. Olson (2011), A statistical boundary layer model for the mantle Di region, J. Geophys. Res., 116, B12112, doi:10.1029/2011JB008511.
- Hernlund(2010), On the interaction of the geotherm with a post-perovskite phase transition in the deep mantle, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 180(2010) 222-234, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2010.02.001
- Yuki Suzuki, Kenji Kawai, Robert J. Geller(2021), Imaging paleoslabs and inferring the Clapeyron slope in D" beneath the northern Pacific based on high-resolution inversion of seismic waveforms for 3-D transversely isotropic structure, Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors vol.321(2021), doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2021.106751
- Hernlund J.W., and McNamara A.K The Core–Mantle Boundary Region. In: Gerald Schubert (editor-in-chief) Treatise on Geophysics, 2nd edition, Vol 7. Oxford: Elsevier; 2015. p. 461-519.
- Kenji Ohta(2016), Measurements of Electrical and Thermal Conductivity of Materials Deep Inside the Earth under High-Pressure Conditions, 高圧力の科学と技術 Vol. 26, No. 2 (2016)
- Ryuichi Nomura, Kei Hirose, Kentaro Uesugi, Yasuo Ohishi, Akira Tsuchiyama, Akira Miyake, Yuichiro Ueno, Low Core-Mantle Boundary Temperature Inferred from the Solidus of Pyrolite, Science vol.343(2014), www.sciencemag.org/content/343/6170/522/suppl/DC1